

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,907	08/21/2003	Hans Boeck	Q74473	6445
23373 75	590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT	
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2855	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{M}_{i}		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7110		
Office Action Summary		10/644,907	BOECK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
· - -	The MANUAL DATE of the	Eric S. McCall	2855			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	corresponaence add	ress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma	<u>arch 2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,8,9 and 11-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3-7 and 10 is/are objected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		152)		

TEST STAND WITH TIPPING DEVICE FOR MOTOR VEHICLES

NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Applicant's Request for Continued Examination (RCE) with amendment dated March 31, 2005.

CLAIMS

In view of the Applicant's amendments to the claims, the claim rejections as set forth in the previous office action (Nov. 02, 2004) have been overcome and withdrawn. However, the following now applies:

Art Unit: 2855

35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kemp et al. (2003/0230137).

With respect to independent claims 1, 11, and 16, Kemp et al. clearly anticipates in Fig. 14 a test stand for motor vehicles, having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit;

an upper frame unit configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

four lifting units respectively disposed in corner zones of the frame units, each of the lifting units operable to independently tip the upper frame.

With respect to claims 2, 8, 9, 12-15, and 17-19, Kemp et al. clearly anticipates the claimed subject matter thereof by way of Fig. 14.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (2,929,519).

With respect to independent claim 1, Taylor clearly anticipates by way of Fig. 1 a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit;

an upper frame unit configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

four lifting units respectively disposed in corner zones of the frame units, each of the lifting units operable to independently tip the upper frame.

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 1 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

With respect to claim 2, Taylor suggests in Fig. 1 the lower frame unit and the upper frame unit are interconnected exclusively via the lifting units.

With respect to claim 8, Taylor suggests the four lifting units being configured exclusively for tipping the upper frame unit (Fig. 5).

With respect to claim 9, Taylor suggests the lifting units are controlled with a control terminal via a central control unit (Fig. 1; 81-84, 86).

With respect to independent claim 11, Taylor clearly anticipates by way of Fig. 1 a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit;

an upper frame unit configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

lifting means attached to the lower frame unit and connectable to the upper frame that tip the upper frame, the lifting means providing the sole source of tipping.

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 11 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,907

Art Unit: 2855

With respect to claim 12, Taylor suggests the lifting means being disposed in corner zones of the frame units (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 13, Taylor suggests the lifting means includes four piston rods (the piston rods of each of the respective cylinder hoists, 48).

With respect to claim 14, Taylor suggests that the piston rods are independently operable (col. 5, lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 15, Taylor suggests the lifting means being operable to tilt any load thereon, and thus a vehicle, in at least one of a longitudinal and transverse direction relative to an axis of the load/vehicle (Figs. 1 & 5).

With respect to independent claim 16, Taylor clearly anticipates by way of Fig. 1 a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit;

an upper frame unit configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and lifting units extendable in an axial direction, each of the lifting units operable to independently (col. 5, lines 1-9) tip the upper frame.

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 16 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

With respect to claim 17, Taylor suggests each of the lifting units being independently extendable (col. 5, lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 18, Taylor suggests the lifting units being operable to tilt any load thereon, and thus a vehicle, in at least one of a longitudinal and transverse direction relative to an axis of the load/vehicle (Figs. 1 & 5).

With respect to claim 19, Taylor suggests the lifting units comprising four piston rods (the piston rods of each of the respective cylinder hoists, 48) disposed in corner zones of the frame units (Fig. 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 has been found to be allowable over the prior art.

RELEVANT ART

The Applicant's attention is directed to the enclosed "PTO-892" form for the prior art

made of record at the time of this action.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric S. McCall

[] MSA

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855

June 09, 2005